

Message Text

PAGE 01 NATO 02124 191911Z

70

ACTION EUR-25

INFO OCT-01 NEA-10 ISO-00 CIAE-00 PM-07 INR-10 L-03

NSAE-00 PA-04 RSC-01 PRS-01 SP-03 USIA-15 TRSE-00

SAJ-01 H-03 NSC-07 SS-20 ACDA-19 OMB-01 EB-11 AEC-11

DRC-01 /154 W

----- 122608

R 191640Z APR 74

FM USMISSION NATO

TO SECSTATE WASHDC 5246

SECDEF WASHDC

INFO AMEMBASSY ANKARA

AMEMBASSY LISBON

USNMR SHAPE

USCINCEUR

USLOSACLANT

CINCLANT

S E C R E T USNATO 2124

E.O. 11652: GDS, 12-31-82

TAGS: MCAP, NATO

SUBJECT: APRIL 18 DRC REVIEW OF NATO FORCE PROPOSALS/GOALS-
PORTUGAL AND TURKEY

REF: A. DRC/WP(74)1(REV), PORTUGAL AND TURKEY (NOTAL)

B. USNATO 1733

C. USNATO 1679

D. STATE 78580

SUMMARY. DRC ON APRIL 18 REVIEWED, WITHOUT MAKING ANY
SIGNIFICANT CHANGES, 1975 TO 1980 DRAFT FORCE GOALS FOR
PORTUGAL AND TURKEY. DRC DID NOT ACCEPT PROPOSED US
REWORDING OF DRAFT FORCE GOAL ON AWACS. END SUMMARY.

1. DRC ON APRIL 18 REVIEWED REVISED COUNTRY CHAPTERS ON
PORTUGUESE AND TURKISH 1975 TO 1980 DRAFT FORCE GOALS
SECRET

PAGE 02 NATO 02124 191911Z

(DRC/WP(74)1(REV), POUCHED TO WASHINGTON).

2. CHAIRMAN HUMPHREYS NOTED THAT REVISED PORTUGUESE CHAPTER
INDICATES DRC AGREES WITH PORTUGUESE AUTHORITIES THAT IT WOULD

BE REASONABLE TO ASSUME THAT MAJOR EQUIPMENT, AT LEAST TO MEET SACLANT'S FORCE PROPOSALS, SHOULD BE PROVIDED FROM EXTERNAL SOURCES AND AT SAME TIME CHAPTER NOTES THAT PORTUGAL CURRENTLY RECEIVES NO EXTERNAL AID.

3. PORTUGUESE REP ASKED THAT PROPOSALS 2M01 AND 2M06 PERTAINING TO EARMARKING/ASSIGNING ADDITIONAL SHIPS TO SACLANT BE DELETED ON GROUNDS THAT HIS AUTHORITIES DO NOT WANT TO OFFER FALSE HOPE TO SACLANT, I.E. HIS AUTHORITIES DO NOT BELIEVE PROPOSALS CAN BE REALISTICALLY IMPLEMENTED. HE FURTHER CLAIMED THAT THE REPLENISHMENT SHIP IS ALSO USED FOR OTHER PURPOSES, BUT ACKNOWLEDGED THERE IS A POSSIBILITY UNDER CERTAIN CIRCUMSTANCES THAT THE SHIP WOULD BE MADE AVAILABLE TO SACLANT. DRC DECIDED TO RETAIN BOTH PROPOSALS; HOWEVER, PARA 19 WHICH REPORTS RESULTS OF DEC EXAMINATION WILL BE AMENDED TO SPELL OUT IN FURTHER DETAIL PORTUGUESE DIFFICULTIES IN IMPLEMENTING THESE PROPOSALS.

4. DISCUSSION OF REVISED TURKISH DRAFT FORCE GOALS CENTERED ON SERIAL 1L07 (A US PRIORITY PROPOSAL) WHICH CALLS FOR PROVISION OF MODERN AIR DEFENSE SYSTEMS FOR TURKISH LAND FORCES. TURKISH REP ASKED FOR DELETION OF PORTION OF PROPOSAL CALLING FOR PROCUREMENT OF VULCAN/CHAPARRAL ON GROUNDS THAT TURKEY ALREADY IS PROCURING WITH NATIONAL RESOURCES 100 TWIN 35 MM OERLIKON GUNS AND THAT O&M COSTS OF VULCAN/CHAPARRAL WERE TOO HIGH FOR TURKEY TO SHOULDER ALONE. SHAPE REP SAID SACEUR'S FORCE PROPOSAL TOOK ACCOUNT OF PLANNED PROCUREMENT OF ORELKONGUNS AND CALLED FOR AN AUGMENTED AIR DEFENSE CAPABILITY. DRC DECIDED TO MAINTAIN THE PROPOSAL AS A DRAFT FORCE GOAL SINCE IT IS TO BE IMPLEMENTED THROUGH EXTERNAL AID AND AGREED THAT PARA 25 WHICH REPORTS RESULTS OF DRC REVIEW WILL POINT OUT THAT TURKEY IS PROCURING OERLIKON GUNS WITH NATIONAL RESOURCES.

5. AFTER MC REP REMINDED DRC OF AWACS LANGUAGE DEVELOPED FOR UK (PARA 5D, USNATO 2025), US REP (CAPT RING), DRAWING ON STATE 78580, ATTEMPTED TO MODIFY WORDING OF AWACS PROPOSAL
SECRET

PAGE 03 NATO 02124 191911Z

FOR TURKEY (1A31). CHAIRMAN AND UK REP REACTED SHARPLY, INDICATING DRC ACCEPTANCE OF NEW US LANGUAGE WOULD REPRESENT A MAJOR AMENDMENT AND PREJUDGE ON-GOING DISCUSSIONS IN OTHER NATO FORA. DRC AGREED WITH THESE ARGUMENTS. THUS DRAFT FORCE GOAL ON AWACS FOR TURKEY AND OTHER APPLICABLE COUNTRIES (BUT NOT FOR US) WILL READ "IN CONJUNCTION WITH OTHER ALLIES, CONTINUE TO INVESTIGATE PROVISION OF AN AIRBORNE EARLY WARNING SYSTEM OPTIMIZED FOR NATO." (NOTE: DRAFT FORCE GOAL ON AWACS FOR US WILL READ AS REPORTED IN US NATO 2101).

6. DRC ADDED CUSTOMARY PARA ON MILITARY IMPLICATIONS OF DRC REVISIONS TO NMA FORCE PROPOSALS. PARA ON PORTUGAL FOLLOWS:

BEGIN TEXT:

THE DEFENSE REVIEW COMMITTEE BELIEVES THAT THE MAIN THRUST OF THE FORCE PROPOSALS RECOMMENDED BY THE MILITARY COMMITTEE HAS BEEN MAINTAINED AND THAT THE DRAFT FORCE GOALS THEY ARE NOW RECOMMENDING (I.E. INCLUDING THOSE IN PART II OF ANNEX B) REPRESENT NO SIGNIFICANT REDUCTION IN THE CAPABILITY CALLED FOR FROM PORTUGAL.
END TEXT.

PARA ON TURKEY FOLLOWS:

BEGIN TEXT.

THE DEFENSE REVIEW COMMITTEE RECOGNIZES THAT, AS COMPARED WITH THE FORCE PROPOSALS RECOMMENDED BY THE MILITARY COMMITTEE, THE DRAFT FORCE GOALS THEY ARE NOW RECOMMENDING (I.E. INCLUDING THOSE DEPENDENT UPON EXTERNAL AID) REPRESENT A SLIGHT REDUCTION IN THE CAPABILITY CALLED FOR FROM TURKEY. IN PARTUCLAR, PROPOSALS CALLING FOR INCREASES OF TWO TACTICAL AIRCRAFT SQUADRONS AND THE USE OF THE C130 TRANSPORT SQUADRON, BOTH REQUIRING EXTERNAL AID, HAVE BEEN DELETED. ON THE WHOLE, HOWEVER, THEY BELIEVE THAT THE MAIN THRUST OF THE FORCE PROPOSALS HAS BEEN MAINTAINED.
END TEXT

RUMSFELD

SECRET

PAGE 04 NATO 02124 191911Z

SECRET

<< END OF DOCUMENT >>

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X
Capture Date: 11 JUN 1999
Channel Indicators: n/a
Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Concepts: n/a
Control Number: n/a
Copy: SINGLE
Draft Date: 19 APR 1974
Decaption Date: 01 JAN 1960
Decaption Note:
Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: garlanwa
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 28 MAY 2004
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1974ATO02124
Document Source: ADS
Document Unique ID: 00
Drafter: n/a
Enclosure: n/a
Executive Order: 11652 GDS, 12-31-82
Errors: n/a
Film Number: n/a
From: NATO
Handling Restrictions: n/a
Image Path:
ISecure: 1
Legacy Key: link1974/newtext/t19740482/abbryuko.tel
Line Count: 141
Locator: TEXT ON-LINE
Office: n/a
Original Classification: SECRET
Original Handling Restrictions: n/a
Original Previous Classification: n/a
Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Page Count: 3
Previous Channel Indicators:
Previous Classification: SECRET
Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Reference: A. DRC/WP(74)1(REV), PORTUGAL AND TURKEY (NOTAL) B. USNATO 1733 C. USNATO 1679 D. STATE 78580
Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED
Review Authority: garlanwa
Review Comment: n/a
Review Content Flags:
Review Date: 09 APR 2002
Review Event:
Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <09 APR 2002 by elyme>; APPROVED <04-Sep-2002 by garlanwa>
Review Markings:

Declassified/Released
US Department of State
EO Systematic Review
30 JUN 2005

Review Media Identifier:
Review Referrals: n/a
Review Release Date: n/a
Review Release Event: n/a
Review Transfer Date:
Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a
Secure: OPEN
Status: NATIVE
Subject: APRIL 18 DRC REVIEW OF NATO FORCE PROPOSALS/GOALS- PORTUGAL AND TURKEY
TAGS: MCAF, NATO
To: STATE
SECDEF INFO ANKARA
LISBON
USNMR SHAPE
USCINCEUR
USLOSACLANT
CINCLANT

Type: TE

Markings: Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005